arXiv:1403.2679v1 [math.GR] 11 Mar 2014

MAXIMAL ABELIAN SUBGROUPS OF SPIN GROUPS AND SOME EXCEPTIONAL SIMPLE LIE GROUPS

JUN YU

Abstract. We classify closed abelian subgroups of the simple groups G_2, F_4, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(8))$ having centralizer the same dimension as the dimension of the subgroup, as well as finite abelian subgroups of certain spin and half-spin groups having finite centralizer.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 20E07, 20E45, 20K27.
Keywords. Maximal abelian subgroup, Weyl group.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Spin and half-spin groups 3
3. Compact simple Lie groups G_2 and F_4 11
3.1. Preliminaries 11
3.2. Compact simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{G_2}$ 12
3.3. Compact simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{F_4}$ 12
4. Compact simple Lie groups of type $\mathbf{D_4}$ 14
4.1. Preliminaries 14
4.2. Outer automorphisms of order three 15
4.3. Orthogonal group 17
4.4. Finite abelian subgroups 19
References 21

1. Introduction

In this paper we continue the classification of maximal abelian subgroups of compact simple Lie groups in [Yu2], where we give a classification of such subgroups of matrix groups. The same as in [Yu2], we study closed abelian subgroups F of a compact simple Lie group G satisfying the condition of

$$(*) \qquad \dim \mathfrak{g}_0^F = \dim F,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is the Lie algebra of G. Precisely, we discuss such subgroups of compact simple Lie groups G_2, F_4, and of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(8))$ of $\mathfrak{so}(8)$, as well as finite abelian subgroups of the spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ for $n = 7$, 8, 10, 12, 14, and of the half-spin group $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c\rangle$.

Given a finite abelian subgroup F of $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$, one can show that the projection of F to $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is diagonalizable. Hence we could assume that

$$F \subset K_n := \langle e_1e_2, e_1e_3, \ldots, e_1e_n\rangle.$$

One has $\mathrm{Spin}(n)^{e_1e_2\ldots e_k} \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(k)\times\mathrm{Spin}(n-k))/\langle(-1,-1)\rangle$. In low ranks, we have $\mathrm{Spin}(3) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1)$, $\mathrm{Spin}(4) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)$, $\mathrm{Spin}(5) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(2)$, $\mathrm{Spin}(6) \cong \mathrm{SU}(4)$, which are matrix groups. For an even integer $n \leq 14$, in the case of F contains elements in specific conjugacy classes, we are able to classify F satisfying the condition $(*)$ by reducing to matrix groups. By a combinatorial argument, we are also able to show that F always contains elements in these specific conjugacy classes if it satisfies the condition $(*)$. The group $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c\rangle$ is closely related to the group $\mathrm{SO}(12)/\langle -I\rangle$, abelian subgroups of the latter are well understood in [Yu2]. To classify closed abelian subgroups of G_2 or F_4 satisfying the condition $(*)$, we need to employ a new method. By Lemma 3.1, we reduce this classification to the classification of finite abelian subgroups of derived groups of Levi subgroups, which are matrix groups or the group itself. The difficult part is the classification of finite abelian subgroups of G_2 or F_4 itself. For this we recall a theorem of Steinberg which indicates that the fixed point subgroup G^σ is connected if G is a connected and simply connected compact Lie group and σ is an automorphism of it. For $G = \mathrm{G}_2$ or F_4, since it is connected and simply connected, the centralizer G^x must be semisimple if x is an element of a finite abelian subgroup F of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. Full rank semisimple subgroups are well understood (cf. [Os]). Therefore we could identify the possible conjugacy class of the subgroup G^x and also the conjugacy class of x. Again G^x is a matrix group if x is a non-identity element, by which we can use results from [Yu2]. The group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(8))$ looks like both a matrix group and an exceptional simple Lie group. Its component group is isomorphic to S_3. Given an abelian subgroup F of $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(8))$, in the case of F does not meet the connected components with outer automorphisms of order 3, F is conjugate to a subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$, which is studied in [Yu2]. In the case of F meets such connected components, Lemma 4.1 reduces the classification to the classification of finite abelian subgroups of derived groups of (disconnected) Levi subgroups. Again the difficult part is for finite abelian subgroups of G itself. For this we use Steinberg's theorem (applied to outer automorphisms) and reduce the classification to finite abelian subgroups of G_2 or $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$. We also describe Weyl groups of maximal abelian subgroups. This is achieved through the description of fusions of maximal abelian subgroups in the classification. Recall that the fusion of an abelian subgroup F is the distribution of conjugacy classes of elements of F.

Note that maximal abelian subgroups of G_2, F_4, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(8))$ are in one-to-one correspondence with fine group gradings of the complex simple Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{f}_4(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})$. These fine group gradings are known in the literature (cf. [EK]). Our approach through the study of maximal abelian subgroups not only gives this classifiaction in an easy way, but also describes the Weyl groups very well. Finally, we remark that for the study of closed abelian subgroups of simple groups of type $\mathbf{E_6}$, $\mathbf{E_7}$ or $\mathbf{E_8}$, we will employ the same method as the method for $\mathbf{G_2}$, $\mathbf{F_4}$ and $\mathbf{D_4}$.

On the other hand, the finite abelian subgroups of spin groups and half-spin groups obtained here will appear in the study of non-finite closed abelian subgroups of E_6, E_7 and E_8 satisfying the condition $(*)$.

Notation and conventions. Given a Euclidean linear space V of dimension n with an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$, denote by $\mathrm{Pin}(n)$ ($\mathrm{Spin}(n)$) the pin (spin) group of degree n associated to V. Write

$$c = c_n := e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \in \mathrm{Pin}(n).$$

Then, $c \in \mathrm{Spin}(n)$ if and only if n is even. In this case c lies in the center of $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$. Let I_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix. We define the following matrices,

$$I_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix},\ J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ \mathrm{J}'_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I}_n \\ \mathrm{I}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given a compact Lie group G and a closed abelian subgroup H, let $W(H) = N_G(H)/C_G(H)$ and we call it the Weyl group of H (in G). Denote by $\mathbb{H}$ a quaternion algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ with standard basis $\{1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$. The symplectic group $\mathrm{Sp}(1) = \{a+b\mathbf{i}+c\mathbf{j}+d\mathbf{k} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2 = 1\}$. Note that we have $\mathrm{Sp}(1) \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)$. In this paper we always use $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ instead of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ as it is easier to write a quaternion number instead of a 2×2 matrix.

Acknowledgement. We thank the anonymous referee for careful reading and helpful comments.

2. Spin and half-spin groups

Let $G = \mathrm{Spin}(n)$ and $\pi : \mathrm{Spin}(n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(n)$ be the natural projection. Given a finite abelian subgroup F of G satisfying the condition $(*)$, without loss of generality we assume that $-1 \in F$ and furthermore $c \in F$ if $2|n$. Since F satisfies the condition $(*)$, as a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, $\pi(F)$ also satisfies the condition $(*)$. By this, the centralizer $\mathrm{SO}(n)^{\pi(x)}$ has finite center for any $x \in F$. By calculation one shows that $\pi(x) \sim I_{p,n-p}$ for some $0 \leq p \leq n$. Then, an inductive process shows that $\pi(F)$ is a diagonalizable of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. By this we may and do assume that $\pi(F)$ is contained in the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{SO}(n)$. Hence $F \subset \langle e_1e_2, e_1e_3, \ldots, e_1e_n\rangle$. For an index set $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$, let

$$e_I = e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_k}.$$

For an even integer k, let

$$X_k = \{I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} : e_I \in F \text{ and } |I| = k\}.$$

For any i, j, $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, since

$$\mathrm{Spin}(n)^{e_ie_j} \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(n-2) \times \mathrm{Spin}(2))/\langle(-1,-1)\rangle$$

has center of positive dimension, F does not contain the element e_ie_j. Thus $X_2 = \emptyset$.

Lemma 2.1. *For $n \geq 3$, let $F \subset \mathrm{Spin}(n)$ be a finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. Then $F/(F \cap \langle -1, c\rangle)$ is an elementary abelian 2-group and*

$$\log_2 n \leq \mathrm{rank}(F/(F \cap \langle -1, c\rangle)) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}.$$

Proof. By the above remark, we assume that $F \subset K_n = \langle e_1e_2, e_1e_3, \ldots, e_1e_n\rangle$. Thus $F/(F \cap \langle -1, c\rangle)$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. Let $r = \mathrm{rank}(F/(F \cap \langle -1, c\rangle))$. Then, $\mathrm{O}(n)^{\pi(F)}$ has a blockwise decomposition of at most 2^r components. Hence $\mathfrak{so}(n)^F = 0$ implies that $2^r \geq n$. Thus $r \geq \log_2 n$. For $x, y \in K_n$, define $m(x, y) = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. This gives a bimultiplicative function

$$m : K_n \times K_n \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}.$$

It is clear that $\ker m = \mathrm{Spin}(n) \cap \langle -1, c\rangle$ and $\mathrm{rank}(K_n/K_n \cap \langle -1, c\rangle) = 2[\frac{n-1}{2}]$. Since F is an abelian subgroup, one has $m|_{F\times F} = 1$. Therefore

$$\mathrm{rank}(F/(F \cap \langle -1, c\rangle)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{rank}(K_n/\langle -1, c\rangle) = [\frac{n-1}{2}].$$

□

Proposition 2.1. *For $2 \leq n \leq 6$, there exist no finite abelian subgroups F of* $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ *satisfying the condition* $(*)$.

Proof. Let $n \geq 3$ and $F \subset \mathrm{Spin}(n)$ be a finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. Let $r = \mathrm{rank}(F/(F \cap \langle -1, c\rangle))$. By Lemma 2.1, $\log_2 n \leq r \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Hence $2^{[\frac{n-1}{2}]} \geq n$. If $n = 2m$ is even, then $2^{m-1} \geq 2m$ and hence $m \geq 4$, $n \geq 8$. If $n = 2m - 1$ is odd, then $2^{m-1} \geq 2m - 1$ and hence $m \geq 4$, $n \geq 7$. □

Let

$$F_8 = \langle -1, c, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7\rangle,$$
$$F_7 = \langle -1, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7\rangle.$$

Then, F_8 is a maximal finite abelian subgroup of Spin(8) and F_7 is a maximal finite abelian subgroup of Spin(7).

Proposition 2.2. *Any finite abelian subgroup F of* $\mathrm{Spin}(8)$ *satisfying the condition* $(*)$ *and with $-1, c \in F$ is conjugate to F_8. Any finite abelian subgroup F of* $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ *satisfying the condition* $(*)$ *and with $-1 \in F$ is conjugate to F_7. There exist no finite abelian subgroups of* $\mathrm{Spin}(10)$ *satisfying the condition* $(*)$.

Proof. For $n = 8$, without loss of generality we assume that

$$F \subset \langle e_1e_2, e_1e_3, \ldots, e_1e_8\rangle.$$

Since $e_ie_j \notin F$ for any $i \neq j$, besides $\pm 1, \pm c$, any element of F is of the form $\pm e_I$ for some $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\}$ with $|I| = 4$. We may and do assume that $e_1e_2e_3e_4 \in F$. Then

$$F \subset \mathrm{Spin}(8)^{e_1e_2e_3e_4} \cong (\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle (-1, -1, -1, -1)\rangle.$$

Here we use $\mathrm{Spin}(4) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. Any finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle -1\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ is conjugate to $\langle [\mathbf{i}], [\mathbf{j}]\rangle$. Hence

$$F \sim \langle [(-1, 1, 1, 1)], [(1, -1, 1, 1)], [(1, 1, -1, 1)], [(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})], [(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j})]\rangle.$$

This shows the uniqueness of the conjugacy class when $n = 8$. The proof for the $n = 7$ case is similar as that for the $n = 8$ case. For $n = 10$, without loss of generality we assume that $F \subset \langle e_1e_2, e_1e_3, \ldots, e_1e_{10}\rangle$ and $c \in F$. Since $e_ie_j \notin F$ for any $i \neq j$,

besides $\pm 1, \pm c$, any element of F is of the form $\pm e_I$ with $|I| = 4$ or 6. We may and do assume that $e_1e_2e_3e_4 \in F$. Then

$$F \subset \mathrm{Spin}(10)^{e_1e_2e_3e_4} \cong (\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(4))/\langle(-1,-1,-I)\rangle.$$

Here we use $\mathrm{Spin}(4) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(6) \cong \mathrm{SU}(4)$. Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be the projections of F to three components $\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle -1\rangle$, $\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle -1\rangle$, $\mathrm{SU}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ and F_1', F_2', F_3' be the images, which are elementary abelian 2-subgroups by [Yu2], Proposition 2.1. We have bimultiplicative functions m_1, m_2, m_3 on F_1', F_2', F_3' taking values in $\{\pm 1\}$. By the definition of m_i in [Yu2], we have $m_i(p_i(x), p_i(y)) = m_j(p_j(x), p_j(y))$ for any $x, y \in F$ and $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Hence $\mathrm{rank}(F_i'/\ker m_i) = \mathrm{rank}(F_j'/\ker m_j)$. It follows from [Yu2], Proposition 2.1 that $\ker m_1 = \ker m_3 = 1$, $F_1' \cong (C_2)^2$ and $F_3' \cong (C_2)^4$. Hence $\mathrm{rank}(F_1'/\ker m_1) \neq \mathrm{rank}(F_3'/\ker m_3)$, which is a contradiction. Thus Spin(10) has no finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. □

Since every element in $F_7 - \{1\}$ is conjugate to $e_1e_2e_3e_4$, one can show that $W(F_7) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Similarly every element in $F_8 - \langle -1, c\rangle$ is conjugate to $e_1e_2e_3e_4$ and hence $W(F_8) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^2) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Let

$$F_{12} = \langle -1, c, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_2e_7e_8, e_1e_2e_9e_{10}, e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}\rangle,$$

$$F_{14} = \langle -1, c, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7, e_8e_9e_{10}e_{11}, e_8e_9e_{12}e_{13}, e_8e_{10}e_{12}e_{14}\rangle.$$

Then, F_{12} is a maximal finite abelian subgroup of Spin(12) and F_{14} is a maximal finite abelian subgroup of Spin(14).

Proposition 2.3. *Any finite abelian subgroup F of* Spin(12) *satisfying the condition* $(*)$ *and with $-1, c \in F$ is conjugate to F_{12}. Any finite abelian subgroup F of* Spin(14) *satisfying the condition* $(*)$ *and with $-1, c \in F$ is conjugate to F_{14}.*

Proof. For $n = 12$, without loss of generality we assume that

$$F \subset \langle e_1e_2, e_1e_3, \ldots, e_1e_{12}\rangle.$$

Since $e_ie_j \notin F$ for any $i \neq j$, besides $\pm 1, \pm c$, any element of F is of the form $\pm e_I$ with $|I| = 4$, 6 or 8. If F contains an element of the form e_I with $|I| = 6$, we may and do assume that $e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6 \in F$. Then

$$F \subset \mathrm{Spin}(12)^{e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6} \cong (\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{SU}(4))/\langle(-I,-I)\rangle$$

and $[(iI, I)], [(I, iI)] \in F$. Here we use $\mathrm{Spin}(6) \cong \mathrm{SU}(4)$. By [Yu2], Proposition 2.1, the image of the projection of F to each component $\mathrm{SU}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle [iI], [E_1], [E_2], [E_3], [E_4]\rangle$, where $E_1 = I_{2,2}$, $E_2 = J_2'$, $E_3 = \mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}$, $E_4 = \mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1'\}$. Hence

$$F \sim \langle [(iI, I)], [(I, iI)], [(E_1, E_1)], [(E_2, E_2)], [(E_3, E_3)], [(E_4, E_4)]\rangle.$$

Therefore the conjugacy class of F is unique in this case. Moreover F_{12} is in this conjugacy class since it contains an element of the form e_I with $|I| = 6$. If F contains

two elements of the form e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} with $|I_1| = |I_2| = 4$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$, we may and do assume that $e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_5e_6e_7e_8 \in F$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & F \\ \subset\ & \mathrm{Spin}(12)^{e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_5e_6e_7e_8} \\ \cong\ & (\mathrm{Sp}(1)^2 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)^2 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)^2)/\langle(-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1),(1,1,-1,-1,-1,-1)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and $[(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)] \in F$. Here we use $\mathrm{Spin}(4) \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)$. One can show that the image of the projection of F to each component $\mathrm{Sp}(1)^2/\langle(-1,-1)\rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle[(-1,1)],[(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i})],[(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j})]\rangle$. Hence

$$F \sim \langle[(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)], [(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},1,1)], [(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j})], [(1,1,\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i})]\rangle.$$

Therefore the conjugacy class of F is unique in this case. Moreover F_{12} is in this conjugacy class since it contains two elements of the form e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} with $|I_1| = |I_2| = 4$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$. Suppose the above two cases do not happen. Then any element of F besides $\pm 1, \pm c$ is of the form $\pm e_I$ with $|I| = 4$ or 8; and for any two $e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} \in F$ $(I_1 \neq I_2)$ with $|I_1| = |I_2| = 4$, one has $|I_1 \cap I_2| = 2$. By Lemma 2.1, $\mathrm{rank}(F/\langle -1, c\rangle) \geq 4$. Without loss of generality we assume that $e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7 \in F$. One can show that there exist no subset I of $\{1, 2, \ldots, 12\}$ with cardinality 4 and the intersection of I with each of $\{1,2,3,4\}$, $\{1,2,5,6\}$, $\{3,4,5,6\}$, $\{1,3,5,7\}$, $\{2,4,5,7\}$, $\{2,3,6,7\}$, $\{1,4,6,7\}$ has cardinality 2.

For $n = 14$, without loss of generality we assume that

$$F \subset \langle e_1e_2, e_1e_3, \ldots, e_1e_{14}\rangle.$$

Since $e_ie_j \notin F$ for any $i \neq j$, besides $\pm 1, \pm c$, any element of F is of the form $\pm e_I$ with $|I| = 4$, 6, 8 or 10. If F contains two elements of the form e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} with $|I_1| = |I_2| = 4$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$, we may and do assume that $e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_5e_6e_7e_8 \in F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & F \\ \subset\ & \mathrm{Spin}(14)^{e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_5e_6e_7e_8} \\ \cong\ & (\mathrm{Sp}(1)^2 \times \mathrm{Sp}(1)^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(4))/\langle(-1,-1,1,1,-I),(1,1,-1,-1,-I)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and $[(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, I)], [(1,1,1,1,iI)] \in F$. By [Yu2], Proposition 2.1, the image of the projection of F to each of the first two components $\mathrm{Sp}(1)^2/\langle(-1,-1)\rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle[(-1,1)],[(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i})],[(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j})]\rangle$ and the image of the projection of F to the third component $\mathrm{SU}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ is conjugate to $\langle[iI],[E_1],[E_2],[E_3],[E_4]\rangle$. Then, one can show that

$$\begin{aligned} F \sim\ & \langle[(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, I)], [(1,1,1,1,iI)], [(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},1,1,E_1)], [(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j},1,1,E_2)], \\ & [(1,1,\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},E_3)], [(1,1,\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j},E_4)]\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the conjugacy class of F is unique in this case. Moreover F_{14} is in this conjugacy class since it contains two elements of the form e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} with $|I_1| = |I_2| = 4$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$. Suppose the above case does not happen. Then, for any $e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} \in F$ $(I_1 \neq I_2)$ with $|I_1| = |I_2| = 4$, one has $|I_1 \cap I_2| = 2$. Let $F' = \{\pm e_I \in F : |I| =$

$4\} \cup \{\pm 1\}$. Then F' is a subgroup of F. Moreover, there exists a subgroup F'' of F such that: $-1 \in F''$; for any $\pm e_I \in F''$, $|I| = 8$; and

$$F/\langle -1, c\rangle = (\langle F', c\rangle/\langle -1, c\rangle) \times (\langle F'', c\rangle/\langle -1, c\rangle)$$

is a direct product. Hence for any $e_{I_1} \in F', e_{I_2} \in F''$, $|I_1 \cap I_2| = 2$; and for any $e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} \in F''$ $(I_1 \neq I_2)$, $|I_1 \cap I_2| = 4$. Let $r_1 = \mathrm{rank}(F'/\langle -1\rangle)$, $r_2 = \mathrm{rank}\, F''/\langle -1\rangle$ and $r = \mathrm{rank}(F/\langle -1, c\rangle)$. Then $r = r_1 + r_2$. By Lemma 2.1, $r \geq 4$. Since for any $e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} \in F''$ $(I_1 \neq I_2)$, $|I_1 \cap I_2| = 4$, one has $r_2 \leq 3$. Since for any $e_{I_1}, e_{I_2} \in F'$ $(I_1 \neq I_2)$, $|I_1 \cap I_2| = 2$, one has $r_1 \leq 3$. If $r_1 = 3$, we may and do assume that $F' = \langle -1, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7\rangle$. Choose an $e_I \in F''$ with $|I| = 8$, one can show that $|I \cap I_1| = 2$ for any $e_{I_1} \in F'$ can not hold. If $r_1 = 2$, we may and do assume that $F' = \langle -1, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6\rangle$. Any element of F'' can be chosen of the form $\pm e_1e_3e_I$ with $I \subset \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14\}$ and $|I \cap \{5, 6\}| = 1$. Then, $r_2 \leq 1$ and hence $\mathfrak{so}(14)^F \neq 0$ in this case. If $r_1 = 1$, then $r_2 = 3$ as $r \geq 4$. In this case one can show that $\mathfrak{so}(14)^F \neq 0$ as well. □

For F_{12}, denote by

$$K = \langle -1, c, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_2e_7e_8, e_1e_2e_9e_{10}\rangle.$$

Since $\mathfrak{so}(12)^K = \oplus_{1\leq i\leq 6}\mathfrak{so}(2)$, one has $W(K) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_6$, where $\mathbb{F}_2^4 = A_1/A_2$, $A_1 = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) \in \mathbb{F}_2^6 : a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 = a_5 + a_6 = 0\}$, $A_2 = \mathbb{F}_2 \cdot (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \subset \mathbb{F}_2^6$. Here S_6 is generated by the conjugation action of elements like $\frac{1+e_{2i+1}e_{2i+3}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_{2i+2}e_{2i+4}}{\sqrt{2}}$, $0 \leq i \leq 4$, and $\mathbb{F}_2^4$ is generated by the conjugation action of elements like $e_{2i+1}e_{2i+3}$, $0 \leq i \leq 4$. It is clear that K is invariant under $W(F_{12})$ and hence there is a homomorphism $p : W(F_{12}) \to W(K)$, which is apparently a surjective map. One can show that $\ker p = \mathrm{Hom}(F_{12}/K, K)$, generated by the conjugation action of elements like e_1e_2, $\frac{1+e_{2i+1}e_{2i+2}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_{2i+3}e_{2i+4}}{\sqrt{2}}$, $0 \leq i \leq 4$. Therefore we get

$$W(F_{12}) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^6 \rtimes (\mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_6).$$

For F_{14}, denote by

$$A = \langle -1, e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7\rangle,$$

$$B = \langle -1, e_8e_9e_{10}e_{11}, e_8e_9e_{12}e_{13}, e_8e_{10}e_{12}e_{14}\rangle.$$

Then, $\{A, B\}$ is invariant under $W(F_{14})$. It is clear that

$$W(F_{14}) = (W(A) \times W(B)) \rtimes S_2,$$

where $W(A) \cong W(B) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Given $n \geq 3$, let k_n be the number of conjugacy classes of finite abelian subgroups F of $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$, with $-1 \in F$ and $c_n \in F$ if $2|n$.

Proposition 2.4. *For any $n > 1$ except $n = 2$, 3, 4, 5, 6 or 10, $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ has a finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$.*

Proof. We show that $k_{2n-1} \geq k_{2n-2} + k_{2n}$ for any integer $n > 1$. Finite abelian subgroups F of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n-1)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $-1 \in F$ are divided into two disjoint classes: the subgroups with $\mathfrak{so}(2n)^F \neq 0$; the subgroups with

$\mathfrak{so}(2n)^F = 0$. If F is a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n-2)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $-1, c_{2n-2} \in F$, then $F \subset \mathrm{Spin}(2n-1)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n-1)$ in the first class. One can show that, this gives an injective map[1]from the conjugacy classes of finite abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n-2)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $-1, c_{2n-2} \in F$ to the conjugacy classes of finite abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n-1)$ in the first class. If F is a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n-1)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $-1 \in F$ and being in the second class, then $\langle F, c_{2n}\rangle \subset \mathrm{Spin}(2n)$ is a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $-1, c_{2n} \in F$. One can show that, this gives a surjective map from the conjugacy classes of finite abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n-1)$ in the second class to the conjugacy classes of finite abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(2n)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $-1, c_{2n} \in F$. By these, we get the inequality $k_{2n-1} \geq k_{2n-2} + k_{2n}$. Then, by Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, to show the conclusion we only need to show that $k_n > 0$ for any even integer $n \geq 16$. An even integer $n \geq 16$ is of the form $n = 4m$ $(m \geq 4)$, $n = 14 + 8m$ $(m \geq 1)$ or $n = 18 + 8m$ $(m \geq 0)$. Let

$$F_{4m} = \langle e_1e_3\cdots e_{4m-1}, e_1e_2e_{2i+1}e_{2i+2} : 1 \leq i \leq 2m-1\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{14+8m} &= \langle e_{8m+1}e_{8m+2}e_{8m+3}e_{8m+4}, e_{8m+1}e_{8m+2}e_{8m+5}e_{8m+6},\\ &\quad e_{8m+1}e_{8m+3}e_{8m+5}e_{8m+7}, e_{8m+8}e_{8m+9}e_{8m+10}e_{8m+11},\\ &\quad e_{8m+8}e_{8m+9}e_{8m+12}e_{8m+13}, e_{8m+8}e_{8m+10}e_{8m+12}e_{8m+14},\\ &\quad e_{8i+1}e_{8i+2}e_{8i+3}e_{8i+4}, e_{8i+1}e_{8i+2}e_{8i+5}e_{8i+6},\\ &\quad e_{8i+1}e_{8i+3}e_{8i+5}e_{8i+7}, e_{8i+5}e_{8i+6}e_{8i+7}e_{8i+8} : 0 \leq i \leq m-1\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{18+8m} &= \langle e_{8m+1}e_{8m+3}e_{8m+5}e_{8m+7}e_{8m+9}e_{8m+11}, e_{8m+1}e_{8m+2}e_{8m+3}e_{8m+4},\\ &\quad e_{8m+1}e_{8m+2}e_{8m+5}e_{8m+6}, e_{8m+1}e_{8m+2}e_{8m+7}e_{8m+8},\\ &\quad e_{8m+1}e_{8m+2}e_{8m+9}e_{8m+10}, e_{8m+12}e_{8m+13}e_{8m+14}e_{8m+15},\\ &\quad e_{8m+12}e_{8m+13}e_{8m+16}e_{8m+17}, e_{8m+12}e_{8m+14}e_{8m+16}e_{8m+18},\\ &\quad e_{8i+1}e_{8i+2}e_{8i+3}e_{8i+4}, e_{8i+1}e_{8i+2}e_{8i+5}e_{8i+6},\\ &\quad e_{8i+1}e_{8i+3}e_{8i+5}e_{8i+7}, e_{8i+5}e_{8i+6}e_{8i+7}e_{8i+8} : 0 \leq i \leq m-1\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

They are finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. □

The following proposition is concerned with finite abelian subgroups of the group $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c\rangle$, which is needed for the study of abelian subgroups of the simple group $\mathrm{E}_7/Z(\mathrm{E}_7)$. In $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c\rangle$, let

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \langle [e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6], [e_1e_3\frac{1+e_5e_6}{\sqrt{2}}e_7e_9\frac{1+e_{11}e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}],\\ &\quad [\frac{e_1+e_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}}e_5\frac{e_7+e_8}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_9e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}e_{11}]\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$F_2 = \langle [-1], [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_5e_6e_7e_8], [e_3e_4e_5e_6], [e_7e_8e_9e_{10}], [e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}]\rangle,$$

$$F_3 = \langle [-1], [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_5e_6e_7e_8], [e_3e_4e_5e_6], [e_7e_8e_9e_{10}], [e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}], [\delta]\rangle,$$

[1]It needs to use a decomposition $\mathrm{Spin}(2n-1) = \mathrm{Spin}(2n-2)B\,\mathrm{Spin}(2n-2)$ to prove the injectivity of this map, where $B \cong \mathrm{Spin}(2)$. We leave the proof to interested reader.

where

$$\delta = \frac{1+e_1e_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_5e_6}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_7e_8}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_9e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_{11}e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

The subgroup F_1 and F_3 are maximal abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c\rangle$ and apparently F_2 is a subgroup of F_3.

Proposition 2.5. *Any finite abelian subgroup F of $\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $-1 \in F$ is conjugate to one of F_1, F_2, F_3.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c\rangle \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(12)/\langle -I\rangle$ be the natural projection and $F' = \pi(F)$. As the discussion of abelian subgroups of projective orthogonal groups in [Yu2] Section 3, one associates a diagonalizable elementary abelian 2-subgroup $B_{F'}$ to F'. We consider separate cases according to $B_{F'}$ contains an element conjugate to $I_{6,6}$ or not.

If $B_{F'}$ contains an element conjugate to $I_{6,6}$, we may and do assume that $x_1 = [e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6] \in F$ and $\pi(x) \in B_{F'}$. Let $y_1 = e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6 \in \mathrm{Spin}(12)$. Since $\pi(x) \in B_{F'}$, one has

$$F \subset \mathrm{Spin}(12)^{y_1}/\langle c\rangle \cong (\mathrm{SU}(4)\times \mathrm{SU}(4))/\langle (iI, iI)\rangle,$$

where $y_1 = (iI, I)$. By [Yu2], Proposition 2.1, one can show that

$$F \sim \langle [(iI, I)], [(A_4, A_4)], [(B_4, B_4)]\rangle$$

or

$$F \sim \langle [(iI, I)], [(I_{2,2}, I_{2,2})], [(J_2', J_2')], [(A_4', A_4')], [(B_4', B_4')]\rangle,$$

where

$$A_4' = \mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\},\ B_4' = \mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1'\},$$

$$A_4 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\,\mathrm{diag}\{1, i, -1, -i\},\ B_4 = \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

These two subgroups are conjugate to F_1 and F_2 respectively.

If $B_{F'}$ contains no elements conjugate to $I_{6,6}$, by [Yu2], Proposition 3.1, we have integers $k \geq 0$ and $s_0 \geq 1$ such that $12 = 2^k s_0$. Then, $k = 0$, 1 or 2. In the case of $k = 0$, the subgroup F' is diagonalizable. Hence the pre-image of F in Spin(12) is abelian. By Proposition 2.3, an abelian subgroup F of Spin(12) satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $-1, c \in F$ contains an element conjugate to $e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6$, which is a contradiction. In the case of $k = 1$, one has $s_0 = 6$. Then, $\log_2 6 \leq \mathrm{rank}\, B_{F'} \leq 6 - 1$. Thus $3 \leq \mathrm{rank}\, B_{F'} \leq 5$. As $B_{F'}$ contains no elements conjugate to $I_{6,6}$, one can show that $\mathrm{rank}\, B_{F'} = 4$ and

$$B_{F'} \sim \langle I_{4,8}, I_{8,4}, \mathrm{diag}\{-I_{2,4}, I_6\}, \mathrm{diag}\{I_6, I_{4,2}\}\rangle.$$

Hence

$$F \subset \mathrm{Spin}(12)^{\pi^{-1}(B_{F'})}/\langle c\rangle = (\mathrm{Spin}(2)^6/\langle (c_2, \ldots, c_2)\rangle) \rtimes \langle e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}\rangle.$$

Therefore

$$F \sim F_3 = \langle [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_5e_6e_7e_8], [e_3e_4e_5e_6], [e_7e_8e_9e_{10}], e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}, \delta\rangle.$$

In the case of $k = 2$, one has $s_0 = 3$. Then, $\operatorname{rank} B_{F'} = 2$ and there exist elements $[x_1'], [x_2'], [x_3'], [x_4'] \in F$, $x_1', x_2', x_3', x_4' \in \mathrm{Spin}(12)$ with $x_1' x_2' x_1^{'-1} x_2^{'-1} = x_3' x_4' x_3^{'-1} x_4^{'-1} = c$, and $x_i' x_j' x_i^{'-1} x_j^{'-1} = 1$ for $i \in \{1,2\}, j \in \{3,4\}$. We may assume that

$$B_{F'} = \langle [I_{4,8}], [I_{8,4}] \rangle.$$

Thus

$$F \subset (\mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c \rangle)^{[e_1e_2e_3e_4],[e_5e_6e_7e_8]} = \mathrm{Spin}(4)^3/\langle (-1,-1,1),(1,-1,-1),(c,c,c) \rangle,$$

which is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{Sp}(1)^6/\langle (-1,-1,-1,-1,1,1),(1,1,-1,-1,-1,-1),(1,-1,1,-1,1,-1) \rangle.$$

Consideration in $\mathrm{Sp}(1)^6$ shows $x_1' x_2' x_1^{'-1} x_2^{'-1} = x_3' x_4' x_3^{'-1} x_4^{'-1} = c$ and $x_i' x_j' x_i^{'-1} x_j^{'-1} = 1$ for $i \in \{1,2\}, j \in \{3,4\}$ can not hold. □

Denote by $G = \mathrm{Spin}(12)/\langle c \rangle$. For F_1, denote by $x = [e_1e_2e_3e_4e_5e_6]$,

$$x_1 = [e_1e_3\frac{1+e_5e_6}{\sqrt{2}}e_7e_9\frac{1+e_{11}e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}],\ x_2 = [\frac{e_1+e_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}}e_5\frac{e_7+e_8}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_9e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}e_{11}].$$

Let

$$y = \frac{1+e_1e_7}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_2e_8}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_9}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_4e_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_5e_{11}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1-e_6e_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Then, $y \in G^x - (G^x)_0$, $yx_1y^{-1} = x_1^{-1}$, $yx_2y^{-1} = x_2$. The action of y on $F_1/\langle x \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2$ is an invertible transformation of determinant $-1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^*$. Consideration in $(G^x)_0$ shows $N_{(G^x)_0}(F_1)/C_{(G^x)_0}(F_1) \cong \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_1)}(x) \cong \mathrm{Hom}((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}).$$

On the other hand, there are eight elements in the $W(F_1)$ orbit of x in F_1. Hence $|W(F_1)| = 8 \times 4^2 \times |\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})| = 8 \times 4^2 \times 16 \times 6 = 3 \times 2^{12}$. Let $K = \{y \in F_1 : y^2 = 1\}$. Since $-1 \in Z(G)$, one has $W(K) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_1) \longrightarrow W(K)$. By counting the order, one can show that p is surjective and $\ker p = \mathrm{Hom}(F_1/K, K)$. Therefore there is an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{F}_2^3, \mathbb{F}_2^3) \to W(F_1) \to \mathbb{F}_2^2 \rtimes \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2) \to 1.$$

The subgroup F_2 is similar as the subgroup F_{12} of $\mathrm{Spin}(12)$, one has

$$W(F_2) = \mathbb{F}_2^5 \rtimes (\mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_6).$$

For F_3, denote by

$$K = \langle [-1], [e_1e_2e_3e_4], [e_5e_6e_7e_8], [e_3e_4e_5e_6], [e_7e_8e_9e_{10}] \rangle$$

and $x = [e_1e_3e_5e_7e_9e_{11}]$. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_3) \longrightarrow W(K) \times \mathrm{GL}(F_3/K)$. Apparently $W(K) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_6$. Since the coset δK is stable under $W(F_3)$, the projection of the image of p to $\mathrm{GL}(F_3/K)$ lies in $S_2 = \langle \sigma \rangle$, where $\sigma(\delta K) = \delta K$, $\sigma(xK) = \delta xK$ and $\sigma(\delta xK) = xK$. An element $w \in \ker p$ is given by the conjugation action of element $g \in C_G(K)$. One can show that $C_G(K)$ is generated by F_3 together with $\mathrm{Spin}(2)^6$, which act as identity on δ. Hence $\ker p \subset \mathrm{Hom}(F_3/\langle \delta, K \rangle, K) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^5$. The conjugation action of elements like e_1e_2, $(\cos\theta + \sin\theta e_{2i-1}e_{2i})(\cos\theta +$

$\sin\theta e_{2i+1}e_{2i+2})$, $1 \le i \le 5$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ generates $\ker p = \mathrm{Hom}(F_3/\langle\delta, K\rangle, K)$. The conjugation action of elements like $\frac{1+e_{2i+1}e_{2i+3}}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_{2i+2}e_{2i+4}}{\sqrt{2}}$, $e_{2i+1}e_{2i+3}$, $0 \le i \le 4$ generate $\mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_6$. The conjugation action of $\prod_{1\le i\le 6}(\cos\theta + \sin\theta e_{2i-1}e_{2i})$ generates S_2, where $\theta = \frac{\pi}{8}$. Therefore

$$W(F_3) = \mathbb{F}_2^5 \rtimes ((\mathbb{F}_2^4 \rtimes S_6) \times S_2).$$

3. Compact simple Lie groups G_2 and F_4

3.1. **Preliminaries.** We follow the notation in [Yu], Subsection 3.1. Given a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, with a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, Killing form denoted by B, root system $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. For each element $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$ be defined by

$$B(H_\lambda, H) = \lambda(H),\ \forall H \in \mathfrak{h},$$

in particular we have an element $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ for each root α. Let

$$H'_\alpha = \frac{2}{\alpha(H_\alpha)}H_\alpha, \tag{1}$$

which is called a co-root vector. Choose a simple system $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ of the root system Δ, with the Bourbaki numbering ([Bo], Pages 265-300). For simplicity we write H_i, H'_i for H_{α_i}, H'_{α_i}, $1 \le i \le r$. For a root α, denote by X_α a non-zero vector in the root space $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. One can normalize the root vectors $\{X_\alpha\}$ appropriately so that the real subspace

$$\mathfrak{u}_0 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_\alpha - X_{-\alpha}, i(X_\alpha + X_{-\alpha}), iH_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta^+\} \tag{2}$$

is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}$ ([Kn], Pages 348-354). We denote by $\mathfrak{f}_4$ a compact simple Lie algebra of type $\mathbf{F_4}$. Let F_4 be a connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{f}_4$. Let $\mathfrak{f}_4(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{F}_4(\mathbb{C})$ denote their complexifications. Similar notations are used for other types. Given a compact semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_0$, let $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)$ be the group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{u}_0$ and $\mathrm{Int}(\mathfrak{u}_0) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{u}_0)_0$ be the group of inner automorphisms.

Given a connected compact Lie group G and an abelian subgroup F, let $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathrm{Lie}\, F$, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $L = C_G(\mathfrak{a}_0)$ and $L_s = [L, L]$. Then, L is connected and $L = Z(L)_0 \cdot L_s$ ([Kn], Page 260, Corollary 4.51).

Lemma 3.1. *If $F \subset G$ is an abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$, then $\mathfrak{a} = Z(C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}))$ and $F \cap L_s \subset L_s$ also satisfies the condition $(*)$.*

Proof. Since F is an abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$, one has $F \subset L$ and $Z(L)_0 \subset F$. Thus $F_0 = Z(L)_0$ and $F = F_0(F \cap L_s)$. Hence $\mathfrak{a} = Z(C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}))$ follows. As $F_0 = Z(L)_0$ commutes with L_s and F satisfies the condition $(*)$, $F \cap L_s$ is an abelian subgroup of L_s satisfying the condition $(*)$. □

Note that, $C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a Levi subalgebra. Hence Lemma 3.1 indicates that the complexified Lie algebra of F is the center of a Levi subalgebra. The following is a well known property of Levi subgroups.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a compact connected and simply connected Lie group and L be a closed connected subgroup of G. If $\mathfrak{l} = \operatorname{Lie} L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $L_s = [L, L]$ is simply connected.*

Proof. Choose a maximal torus T of G. Let $\mathfrak{t}_0 = \operatorname{Lie} T$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Then, $\mathfrak{h}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. We have a root system Δ, a simple system Π of Δ, and co-root vectors $H'_\alpha = \frac{2}{\alpha(H_\alpha)} H_\alpha \in i\mathfrak{t}_0$. The simply connectedness of G is equivalent to the kernel of exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{t}_0 \longrightarrow T$ is equal to $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{2\pi i H'_{\alpha_j} : \alpha_j \in \Pi\}$. Since $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Levi subalgebra, without loss of generality we assume that $T \subset L$ and a subset Π' of Π is a simple system of the root system of L. Then, $T' = (T \cap L_s)_0$ is a maximal torus of L_s and the kernel of exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{t}'_0 \longrightarrow T'$ is equal to $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{2\pi i H'_{\alpha_j} : \alpha_j \in \Pi'\}$. Hence L_s is simply connected. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a compact connected Lie group and L be a closed connected subgroup of G. If $\mathfrak{l} = \operatorname{Lie} L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $Z(L_s) \subset Z(G)Z(L)_0$.*

Proof. We only need to consider the case of G is simple and $\operatorname{rank} L_s = \operatorname{rank} G - 1$, other cases reduce to this special case. Let $\{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r\}$ be a set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r\} - \{\alpha_i\}$ being a set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{l}$. Let $H'_j = H'_{\alpha_j}$ be the corresponding co-roots (cf. [HY]). There exists $(a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r - \{0\}$ with $H = \sum_{1 \le i \le r} a_i H'_i$ satisfying that $\alpha_j(H) = 0$ if and only if $j \neq i$. The group $Z(L_s)$ consists of elements of the form $x = \exp(2\pi i H')$ for some $H' = \sum_{1 \le j \le r, j \neq i} b_j H'_j$ such that $\alpha_k(H') \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $k \neq i$. Given an $x \in Z(L_s)$, there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha_k(H' - tH) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any k by the property of H. That just means $x = \exp(2\pi i H') = \exp(2\pi i H' - 2\pi i t H) \exp(2\pi i t H) \in Z(G)Z(L)_0$. □

3.2. **Compact simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{G_2}$.** Let $G = \mathrm{G}_2$. Denote by F_1 an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of G with rank 3. By [Yu], Corollary 4.2, one has $W(F_1) = N_G(F_1)/C_G(F_1) \cong \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Any closed abelian subgroup F of G_2 satisfying the condition $(*)$ is either a maximal torus or is conjugate to F_1.*

Proof. Let $k = \dim F$. Then $0 \le k \le \operatorname{rank} G = 2$. If $k = 2$, then F is a maximal torus. If $k = 1$, by Lemma 3.2, $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{Lie} F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is the center of a Levi subalgebra. The complex simple Lie group $\mathrm{G}_2(\mathbb{C})$ has two conjugacy classes of Levi subgroups with center of dimension 1, both are isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, with maximal compact subgroup isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(2)$. However any closed abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(2)$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ is a maximal torus, we get a contraction. If $k = 0$, for any $1 \neq x \in F$, one has $F \subset G^x$ and $Z(G^x)_0 \subset F$. By Steinberg's theorem G^x is connected. Hence it is semisimple as F is a finite subgroup. Therefore $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is of type of A_2^L or $A_1^L + A_1^S$. Thus $G^x \cong \mathrm{SU}(3)$ or $(\mathrm{Sp}(1) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-1, -1)\rangle$. The first case can not happen since $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ possesses no finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. In the second case, one can show that $F \sim F_1$. □

3.3. **Compact simple Lie group of type $\mathbf{F_4}$.** Let $G = \mathrm{F}_4$. Denote by $\theta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(2H'_3 + H'_4))$. Then the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ has a simple system $\{\beta - \alpha_1, \alpha_1\} \sqcup$

$\{\alpha_3, \alpha_4\}$, which is of type $A_2^L + A_2^S$. Here $\beta = 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4$ is the highest root. By Steinberg's theorem G^θ is connected. Since

$$\exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(H'_{\beta-\alpha_1} + 2H'_{\alpha_1})) = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3}(2H'_{\alpha_3} + H'_{\alpha_4})),$$

one has $G^\theta \cong (\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I, \omega I)\rangle$, where $\theta = [(\omega I, I)]$. Let

$$F_1 = \langle[(\omega I, I)], [(A, A)], [(B, B)]\rangle,$$

where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \omega & \\ & & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, F_1 is an abelian subgroup of G. Moreover

$$C_G(F_1) = C_{G^\theta}(F_1) = ((\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I, \omega I)\rangle)^{F_1} = F_1,$$

hence F_1 is a maximal abelian subgroup of G. For the involution σ_1 of G (cf. [HY], Table 2), one has

$$G^{\sigma_1} \cong (\mathrm{Sp}(3) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-I, -1)\rangle.$$

Let

$$F_2 = \langle[(-I, 1)], [(\mathbf{i}I, \mathbf{i})], [(\mathbf{j}I, \mathbf{j})], [(I_{1,2}, 1)], [(I_{2,1}, 1)]\rangle.$$

Then, it is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of G. Moreover it is a maximal abelian subgroup. The group G has a Levi subgroup L of type B_3 and one has

$$L \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(7) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(-1, -1)\rangle.$$

Let

$$F_3 = \langle 1 \times \mathrm{U}(1), [(e_1e_2e_3e_4, 1)], [(e_1e_2e_5e_6, 1)], [(e_1e_3e_5e_7, 1)]\rangle.$$

Since

$$C_G(F_3) = C_L(F_3) = (1 \times \mathrm{U}(1)) \cdot (\mathrm{Spin}(7))^{e_1e_2e_3e_4, e_1e_2e_5e_6, e_1e_3e_5e_7} = F_3,$$

F_3 is a maximal abelian subgroup of G.

Proposition 3.2. *Any closed abelian subgroup F of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ is either a maximal torus, or is conjugate to one of F_1, F_2, F_3.*

Proof. Denote by $k = \dim F$. Then, $0 \leq k \leq \mathrm{rank}\, G = 4$. If $k = 4$, then F is a maximal torus. If $0 < k < 4$, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a closed connected subgroup L of G whose complexification is a Levi subgroup such that $Z(L)_0 \subset F \subset L$ and $F' = F \cap L_s$ is a finite abelian subgroup of $L_s := [L, L]$ satisfying the condition $(*)$. By Lemma 3.2, L_s is simply connected. Among compact connected and simply connected Lie groups of rank at most 3, only $\mathrm{Spin}(7)$ and G_2 has finite subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. Hence L is of type B_3. In this case $L \cong (\mathrm{Spin}(7) \times \mathrm{U}(1))/\langle(-1, -1)\rangle$ and hence $F \sim F_3$ by Proposition 2.2. If $k = 0$, for any $1 \neq x \in F$, by Steinberg's theorem G^x is connected. Hence G^x is semisimple since $F \subset G^x$ and F satisfies the condition $(*)$. Thus the root system of G^x is one of the types (cf. [Os])

$$A_2^L + A_2^S,\ A_3^L + A_1^S,\ B_4,\ D_4,\ B_2 + 2A_1,\ 4A_1,\ C_3 + A_1,\ C_2 + 2A_1.$$

If the root system of G^x is of type $A_2^L + A_2^S$, then

$$G^x \cong (\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(3))/\langle(\omega I, \omega I)\rangle$$

and hence $F \sim F_1$. If the root system is of type $A_3^L + A_1^S$, then

$$G^x \cong (\mathrm{SU}(4) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1))/\langle(-I, -1)\rangle,$$

where $x = [(\pm iI, 1)]$. Let S_1, S_2 be the images of F under the projections to $\mathrm{SU}(4)/\langle -I\rangle$ and $\mathrm{Sp}(1)/\langle -1\rangle$ respectively, and m_1, m_2 be bimultiplicative functions on them. By [Yu2], Proposition 2.1, one has $\ker m_1 = \ker m_2 = 1$, $S_1 \cong (C_2)^4$ and $S_2 \cong (C_2)^2$. Hence $\mathrm{rank}\, S_1/\ker m_1 \neq \mathrm{rank}\, S_2/\ker m_2$. On the other hand, for any $[(A, x)], [(B, y)] \in F$, one has $m_1([A], [B]) = m_2([x], [y])$. Hence $\mathrm{rank}(S_1/\ker m_1) = \mathrm{rank}(S_2/\ker m_2)$, which is a contradiction. In the remaining cases, for any $1 \neq x \in F$, the root system of G^x is one of of the types

$$B_4,\ D_4,\ B_2 + 2A_1,\ 4A_1,\ C_3 + A_1,\ C_2 + 2A_1.$$

Hence $o(x) = 2$. Therefore F is an elementary abelian 2-group. By [Yu], Proposition 5.2, one can show that $F \sim F_2$. □

Recall that (cf. [Yu]), $P(r, s; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is the group of (r, s)- blockwise upper triangle matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(r + s, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Proposition 3.3. *One has*

$$W(F_1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_3),$$
$$W(F_2) \cong P(3, 2; \mathbb{F}_2),$$
$$W(F_3) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \times \{\pm 1\}).$$

Proof. The group $W(F_1)$ acts transitively on $F_1 - \{1\}$ since any non-identity of F_1 is conjugate to θ. On the other hand the stabilizer of $W(F_1)$ at θ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_3^2 \rtimes \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{F}_3)$. Hence $W(F_1) \cong \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{F}_3)$. By [Yu], Proposition 5.5, $W(F_2) \cong P(3, 2; \mathbb{F}_2)$. For F_3, one has $(F_3)_0 \cong \mathrm{U}(1)$ and $F_3/(F_3)_0 \cong (\mathbb{F}_2)^3$. Hence there is a homomorphism $p : W(F_3) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \times \{\pm 1\}$. There is a subgroup H of G isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spin}(9)$ and containing F_3. From the inclusion $F_3 \subset H$, one can show that the homomorphism p is surjective and $\ker p \cong \mathrm{Hom}(F_3/(F_3)_0, (F_3)_0) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^3$. Therefore $W(F_3) \cong \mathbb{F}_2^3 \rtimes (\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{F}_2) \times \{\pm 1\})$. □

4. Compact simple Lie groups of type $\mathbf{D_4}$

4.1. **Preliminaries.** Let $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(8))$. Then, $G_0 \cong \mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ and $G/G_0 \cong S_3$. Let F be a closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$. Then $F/(F \cap G_0) \cong 1$, C_2 or C_3. Let $G_1 = \mathrm{Spin}(8) \rtimes \langle \tau, \theta\rangle$, where $\theta^2 = 1$, $\tau^3 = 1$, $\theta\tau\theta^{-1} = \tau^{-1}$, θ acts on $\mathfrak{so}(8, \mathbb{C})$ as a diagram automorphism fixing the roots α_1, α_2 and permuting the roots α_3, α_4, and τ acts on $\mathfrak{so}(8, \mathbb{C})$ as a diagram automorphism fixing the root α_2 and permuting the roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$. One has $\mathrm{Spin}(8)^\theta \cong \mathrm{Spin}(7)$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(8)^\tau \cong \mathrm{G}_2$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{u}_0, \eta)$ be the pair $(\mathfrak{so}(8), \tau)$ or $(\mathfrak{so}(8), \theta)$. If F is a closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $F \cdot G_0 = \langle \eta, G_0\rangle$, then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Lie}\, F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is conjugate to the center of a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}^\eta$.*

Proof. We prove the lemma for the pair $(\mathfrak{so}(8),\tau)$. The proof for the pair $(\mathfrak{so}(8),\theta)$ is similar. Choose a maximal torus T of $(G^\tau)_0$. By [Yu2], Lemma 5.4, any element in τG_0 is conjugate to an element in τT; and for any element $x\in\tau T$, T is a maximal torus of $(G^x)_0$. Substituting F by a subgroup conjugate to it if necessary, we may assume that F contains an element $x\in\tau T$ and $\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathfrak{t}$. Let $\mathfrak{l}'=\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}^\tau}(\mathfrak{a})$. We show that $\mathfrak{a}=Z(\mathfrak{l}')$. Since $\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathfrak{t}\subset\mathfrak{g}^\tau$, one has $\mathfrak{a}\subset Z(\mathfrak{l}')$. Since F satisfies the condition $(*)$, in order to show $Z(\mathfrak{l}')\subset\mathfrak{a}$, we just need to show $\mathrm{Ad}(y)(Z(\mathfrak{l}'))=0$ for any $y\in F$. It suffices to show $\mathrm{Ad}(C_{G_0}(\mathfrak{a}))(Z(\mathfrak{l}'))=0$ since $F\subset C_G(\mathfrak{a})=\langle\tau\rangle\cdot C_{G_0}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(\tau)|_{\mathfrak{l}'}=\mathrm{id}$. As $C_{G_0}(\mathfrak{a})$ is connected, we just need to show $[C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}),Z(\mathfrak{l}')]=0$. Choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{t}$. Since $\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathfrak{s}$, one has

$$C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})=\mathfrak{s}\oplus\sum_{\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}}=0}\mathbb{C}X_\alpha.$$

Thus $[\mathfrak{s},Z(\mathfrak{l}')]=0$ follows from $Z(\mathfrak{l}')\subset\mathfrak{t}$ as $\mathfrak{t}$ is a cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{l}'$. For any root α with $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}}=0$, if $\tau(\alpha)=\alpha$, then $X_\alpha\in\mathfrak{g}^\tau$. Hence $X_\alpha\in\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}^\tau}(\mathfrak{a})=\mathfrak{l}'$. Therefore $[X_\alpha,Z(\mathfrak{l}')]=0$. If $\tau(\alpha)\neq\alpha$, then $X_\alpha+\tau(X_\alpha)+\tau^2(X_\alpha)\in\mathrm{C}_{\mathfrak{g}^\tau}(\mathfrak{a})=\mathfrak{l}'$. Hence $[X_\alpha+\tau(X_\alpha)+\tau^2(X_\alpha),Z(\mathfrak{l}')]=0$. Since $Z(\mathfrak{l}')\subset\mathfrak{t}\subset\mathfrak{s}$ and $X_\alpha,\tau(X_\alpha),\tau^2(X_\alpha)$ are root vectors corresponding to distinct roots, one has $[X_\alpha,Z(\mathfrak{l}')]=0$. This finishes the proof for the pair $(\mathfrak{so}(8),\tau)$. □

4.2. **Outer automorphisms of order three.** If $F/(F\cap G_0)\cong C_3$, then $\mathfrak{a}=\mathrm{Lie}\,F\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ is conjugate to the center of a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})^\tau$ by Lemma 4.1. Let

$$H=(\mathrm{Sp}(1)^4/\langle(-1,-1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1),(-1,1,1,-1)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\tau\rangle$$

be a subgroup of G corresponding to the sub-root system $4A_1=\{\beta=\alpha_1+2\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\alpha_1,\alpha_3,\alpha_4\}$ of D_4. One has $\tau(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4)\tau^{-1}=(x_1,x_4,x_2,x_3)$. Hence the root system of $(H_0)^\tau$ is the sub-root system $A_1^L+A_1^S$ of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^\tau=\mathfrak{g}_2$. One has $(G_0)^\tau\cong\mathrm{G}_2$, which has an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of rank 3. Let F_1 be generated by it and τ. The group G has an element $\tau'\in\tau G_0$ of order 3 such that $(G_0)^{\tau'}\cong\mathrm{PSU}(3)$ (cf. [He]), which has an elementary abelian 3-subgroup of rank 2. Let F_2 be generated by it and τ'. Let $F_3=\langle\tau,T\rangle$, where T is a maximal torus of $(G^\tau)_0$. It is clear that F_1, F_2, F_3 are maximal abelian subgroups of G.

Proposition 4.1. *Any closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $F/F\cap G_0\cong C_3$ is conjugate to one of F_1, F_2, F_3.*

Proof. Let F be a closed abelian subgroup of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $F/F\cap G_0\cong C_3$. If F is not finite, by Lemma 4.1, $\mathfrak{a}=\mathrm{Lie}\,F\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ is conjugate to the center of a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}'$ of $\mathfrak{g}_2=\mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})^\tau$. Then, the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_1^L, A_1^S or $\emptyset$. Let $L=C_G(\mathfrak{a}_0)$. Then, $F\subset L$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is $\emptyset$, then $F\sim F_3$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_1^L or A_1^S, L is conjugate to a subgroup of H. Precisely

$$L\sim L_1=((\mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(1)^3)/\langle(-1,-1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1),(-1,1,1,-1)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\tau\rangle$$

or

$$L\sim L_2=((\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{Sp}(1)^3)/\langle(-1,-1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1),(-1,1,1,-1)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\tau\rangle.$$

For L_1, denote by L_1'' the subgroup

$$\mathrm{Sp}(1)\times\big((\{(x_1,x_2,x_3)\in \mathrm{U}(1)^3 : x_1x_2x_3=1\}/\langle(-1,-1,1),(1,-1,-1)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\tau\rangle\big).$$

Then, $Z(L_1)_0\subset F$ and $F''=F\cap L_1''\subset L_1''$ is a finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. As $\mathrm{Sp}(1)$ is a direct factor of L_1'', it has no finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. For L_2, let

$$L_2''=(\mathrm{Sp}(1)^3/\langle(-1,-1,1),(1,-1,-1)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\tau\rangle$$

Then, $Z(L_2'')_0\subset F$ and $F''=F\cap L_2''\subset L_2''$ is a finite abelian subgroup satisfying the condition $(*)$. We may assume that F'' contains an element of the form $x=(\lambda,1,1)\tau$, $\lambda\in\mathrm{U}(1)$. Since $F''\subset (L_2'')^x$, one has $Z((L_2'')^x)_0=1$, which forces $\lambda=\pm1$. Hence F'' contains an element conjugate to τ. One can show that in this case the condition $(*)$ can not hold. If F is a finite abelian subgroup of G, for any $x\in F-F\cap G_0$, by Steinberg's theorem one can show that $(G_0)^x$ is connected. Then, $(G_0)^x$ is semisimple since F satisfies the condition $(*)$. As the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ is a sub-root system of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^\tau=\mathfrak{g}_2$, it is one of the types G_2, A_2^S, $A_1^L+A_1^S$, A_2^L. If it is of type G_2, one has $x\sim\tau$. In this case $F\sim F_1$. If it is of type A_2^S, one has $x\sim\tau'$. In this case $F\sim F_2$. If it is of type $A_1^L+A_1^S$, one has $x^2\sim\tau$. In this case $F\sim F_1$. One can show that the root system of $\mathfrak{g}^x$ can not be of type A_2^L. □

Proposition 4.2. *We have*

$$W(F_1)\cong \mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\{\pm1\},$$
$$W(F_2)\cong (\mathbb{F}_3)^2\rtimes\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3),$$
$$W(F_3)\cong \mathbb{F}_3\rtimes(D_6\times\{\pm1\}).$$

Proof. For F_1, both $F_1\cap G_0\cong(\mathbb{F}_2)^3$ and $\langle\tau\rangle$ are stable under $W(F_1)$. Hence $W(F_1)\subset\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\{\pm1\}$. As $F_1\subset \mathrm{G}_2\times\langle\tau,\theta\rangle$, one gets $W(F_1)\cong\mathrm{GL}(3,\mathbb{F}_2)\times\{\pm1\}$. For F_2, since $(G_0)^{\tau'}\cong\mathrm{PSU}(3)$, one has $\mathrm{Stab}_{W(F_2)}(\tau')\cong\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. On the other hand, all elements in $F_2-F_2\cap G_0$ are conjugate to τ'. Hence $|W(F_2)|=18\times|\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)|=9\times|\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)|$. There is a homomorphism $p: W(F_2)\longrightarrow\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)\times\{\pm1\}$ from the action of $W(F_2)$ on $F_2\cap G_0$ and on $F_2/F_2\cap G_0$. One can show that $\ker p\cong\mathrm{Hom}(F_2/F_2\cap G_0,F_2\cap G_0)\cong(\mathbb{F}_3)^2$. By comparing the order, we get $|\operatorname{Im}p|=|\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)|$. Using one element in the SL_2 subgroup corresponding to the root α_2, one can construct an element θ' with $\theta'\tau'\theta'^{-1}=\tau'^{-1}$, normalizing F_2, and $\mathrm{Ad}(\theta')|_{(G_0)^{\tau'}}$ is an outer automorphism of $\mathrm{PSU}(3)$. By this $\operatorname{Im}p=\{(h,t)\in\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)\times\{\pm1\}:\det h=t\}\cong\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$ and it is a subgroup of $W(F_2)$. Therfore $W(F_2)\cong(\mathbb{F}_3)^2\rtimes\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{F}_3)$. For F_3, there is a homomorphism $p: W(F_3)\longrightarrow W(T)\times\mathrm{Aut}(F_3/T)$. One has $W(T)\cong D_6$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(F_3/T)\cong\{\pm1\}$. On the other hand, as $F_3\subset\mathrm{G}_2\times\langle\tau,\theta\rangle$, we have $D_6\times\{\pm1\}\subset W(F_3)$, in particular p is surjective. Given $w\in W(F_3)$, if $w\in\ker p$, then $w=\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ for some $g\in G_0$. Hence $g\in S:=C_{G_0}(T)$, which is maximal torus of G_0. Thus $g\tau g^{-1}\tau^{-1}\in T$. One can show that $\{(1-\mathrm{Ad}(\tau))x : x\in S\}\cap T\cong\mathbb{F}_3$. Therefore $\ker p\cong\mathbb{F}_3$ and hence $W(F_3)\cong\mathbb{F}_3\rtimes(D_6\times\{\pm1\})$. □

4.3. **Orthogonal group.** The group $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ has three subgroups H_1, H_2, H_3 with

$$H_1 \cong (\mathrm{SO}(2)\times \mathrm{O}(6))/\langle (-I,-I)\rangle,$$
$$H_2 \cong (\mathrm{SO}(2)\times \mathrm{SO}(2)\times \mathrm{O}(4))/\langle (-I,-I,-I)\rangle,$$
$$H_3 \cong (\mathrm{U}(2)\times \mathrm{O}(4))/\langle (-I,-I)\rangle.$$

In H_1, let

$$F_4 = \mathrm{SO}(2)\times \langle I_{3,3}, \mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,2}, I_{1,2}\}, \mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,1}, I_{2,1}\}\rangle,$$
$$F_5 = \mathrm{SO}(2)\times \langle I_{1,5}, I_{3,3}, I_{5,1}, \mathrm{diag}\{1,-1,1,-1,1,1\}\rangle,$$
$$F_6 = \mathrm{SO}(2)\times \langle I_{1,5}, I_{2,4}, I_{3,3}, I_{4,2}, I_{5,1}\rangle.$$

In H_2, let

$$F_7 = \mathrm{SO}(2)\times \mathrm{SO}(2)\times \langle I_{1,3}, I_{2,2}, I_{3,1}\rangle.$$

In H_3, let

$$F_8 = Z(\mathrm{U}(2))\cdot \langle [(1, I_{2,2})], [(iI_{1,1}, \mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\})], [(J_1, \mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1\})]\rangle.$$

Let $F_9 = \langle \theta, T'\rangle$, where T' is a maximal torus of $(G_0)^\theta$.

Proposition 4.3. *Any non-finite closed abelian subgroup F of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $F/(F\cap G_0)\cong C_2$ is conjugate to one of F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7, F_8, F_9.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Lie}\, F\otimes_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{C}$ is conjugate to the center of a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}'$ of $\mathfrak{so}(7,\mathbb{C}) = \mathfrak{so}(8,\mathbb{C})^\tau$. Then, the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type $\emptyset$, A_1^L, A_1^S, A_2^L, $A_1^L + A_1^S$ or B_2. Let $L = C_G(\mathfrak{a}_0)$. Then, $F\subset L$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type $\emptyset$, then $F\sim F_9$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_1^S, then $L\cong H_2$. In this case $F\sim F_7$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type $A_1^L + A_1^S$, then $L\cong H_3$. In this case $F\sim F_8$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type B_2, then $L\sim H_1$. In this case F is conjugate to one of F_4, F_5, F_6. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_1^L, then

$$L\cong ((\mathrm{U}(2)\times \mathrm{SO}(2)\times \mathrm{SO}(2))/\langle (-I,-I,-I)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\theta\rangle,$$

where $\theta(X, X_1, X_2)\theta^{-1} = (X, X_1, X_2^{-1})$. Let $L'' = (\mathrm{SU}(2)\times \mathrm{SO}(2))\rtimes\langle\theta\rangle$, where $\theta(X,Y)\theta^{-1} = (X, Y^{-1})$. Then, $F'' := F\cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a direct factor of L'', it has no finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}'$ is of type A_2^L, then

$$L\cong ((\mathrm{U}(3)\times \mathrm{SO}(2))/\langle (-I,-I)\rangle)\rtimes\langle\theta\rangle,$$

where $\theta(X,Y)\theta^{-1} = (X, Y^{-1})$. Let $L'' = (\mathrm{SU}(3)\times \mathrm{SO}(2))\rtimes\langle\theta\rangle$, where $\theta(X,Y)\theta^{-1} = (X, Y^{-1})$. Then, $F'' = F\cap L''$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L'' satisfying the condition $(*)$. Since $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ is a direct factor of L'', it has no finite abelian subgroups satisfying the condition $(*)$. □

Among F_4, F_5, F_6, F_7, F_8, F_9, the subgroups F_6, F_7, F_8, F_9 are maximal abelian subgroups.

Proposition 4.4. *We have*

$$W(F_6) \cong S_6 \times \{\pm 1\},$$
$$W(F_7) \cong S_4 \times D_4,$$
$$|W(F_8)| = 2^6,$$
$$W(F_9) \cong \{\pm 1\} \rtimes (\{\pm 1\}^3 \rtimes S_3).$$

Proof. By considering the preservation of eigenvalues, one can show that $W(F_6) \subset S_6 \times \{\pm 1\}$. Using $F_6 \subset (\mathrm{O}(2) \times \mathrm{O}(6))/\langle(-I,-I)\rangle$, one gets $W(F_6) = S_6 \times \{\pm 1\}$. Similarly one shows that $W(F_7) \cong S_4 \times D_4$. One has $(F_8)_0 \cong \mathrm{U}(1)$ being the maximal torus of an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ subgroup and $C_G((F_8)_0) = H_3$. Considering in H_3 shows $|W(F_8)| = 2^6$. For F_9, there is a homomorphism $p : W(F_9) \longrightarrow W(T')$, which is apparently a surjective map. One has $W(T_1) \cong \{\pm 1\}^3 \rtimes S_3$. Using $C_G(T) = S \rtimes \langle\theta\rangle$, where S is a maximal torus of G_0, one shows $\ker p \cong \{\pm 1\}$. Considering F_9 as a subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(7)$, one can show that $W(F_9) \cong \{\pm 1\} \rtimes (\{\pm 1\}^3 \rtimes S_3)$. □

The group $G_0 \cong \mathrm{PSO}(8)$ has a subgroup H_4 with

$$H_4 \cong \mathrm{Sp}(1)^4/\langle(-1,-1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1),(-1,1,1,-1)\rangle.$$

In H_4, let

$$F_{10} = ((\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)) \cdot \langle[(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i})],[(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j})]\rangle)/Z,$$
$$F_{11} = (\mathrm{U}(1) \cdot \langle[(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i})],[(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j},1)],[(1,\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j})]\rangle)/Z,$$

where $Z = \langle(-1,-1,1,1),(-1,1,-1,1),(-1,1,1,-1)\rangle$. In H_1, let

$$F_{12} = \mathrm{SO}(2) \times \langle I_{2,4}, I_{4,2}, \mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_2\}, \mathrm{diag}\{I_2, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}\rangle.$$

One sees that F_{10} is conjugate to a subgroup of F_7, F_{12} is conjugate to a subgroup of F_6, and F_{11} is a maximal abelian subgroup of G.

Proposition 4.5. *Any non-finite closed abelian subgroup F of G satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with $F \subset G_0$ is either a maximal torus, or is conjugate to one of F_{10}, F_{11}, F_{12}.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{Lie}\, F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, $\mathfrak{l} = C_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})$ and $L = C_{G_0}(\mathfrak{a})$. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathfrak{a} = Z(\mathfrak{l})$ and $F' := F \cap L_s$ is a finite abelian subgroup of L_s satisfying the condition $(*)$, where $L_s = [L, L]$. The root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type $\emptyset$, A_1, A_2, $2A_1$, A_3 or $3A_1$. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is $\emptyset$, then F is a maximal torus. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type A_1, $2A_1$ or $3A_1$, we may assume that $F \subset H_4$. In this case F is conjugate to F_{10} or F_{11}. If the root system of $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type A_2 or A_3, we may assume that $F \subset H_1$. In this case F is conjugate to F_{12}. □

Proposition 4.6. *We have $|W(F_{10})| = 3 \times 2^6$, $|W(F_{11})| = 3 \times 2^7$, and $W(F_{12}) \cong S_6 \times \{\pm 1\}$*

Proof. There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_{10}) \longrightarrow W((F_{10})_0)$. Using $F_{10} \subset H_4$ and an outer involution normalizing H_4, one shows that $|\ker p| = 6 \times 4$. One can show that $|W((F_{10})_0)| = 8$ and p is surjective. Hence $|W(F_{10})| = 3 \times 2^6$. For F_{11}, denote by $x = [(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{i})]$, $x_1 = [(\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j},1)]$, $x_2 = [(1,\mathbf{j},\mathbf{j})]$, There is a homomorphism $p : W(F_{11}) \longrightarrow W((F_{11})_0)$, which is apparently surjective and $W((F_{11})_0) \cong \{\pm 1\}$.

One has $C_G((F_{11})_0) \subset H$, the subgroup defined ahead of Proposition 4.1. There are eight elements in the $\ker p$ orbit of x and one has

$$C_G(\langle (F_{11})_0, x\rangle) = F_{11} \cdot (\mathrm{U}(1)^3/Z' \times \langle x_1, x_2\rangle) \rtimes \langle \theta, \tau\rangle.$$

Hence $|\ker p| = 8 \times 4 \times 6$. Therefore $|W(F_{11})| = 3 \times 2^7$. Similarly as F_6, one can show that $W(F_{12}) \cong S_6 \times \{\pm 1\}$. □

4.4. **Finite abelian subgroups.** Recall that $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ possesses several conjugacy classes of involutions with representatives $[I_{4,4}]$, $[I_{2,6}]$, $[J_4]$, $[I_{1,7}J_4I_{1,7}^{-1}]$. The involution $[I_{4,4}]$ is not conjugate to any of $[I_{2,6}]$, $[J_4]$, $[I_{1,7}J_4I_{1,7}^{-1}]$ even in $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{so}(8))$ since the fixed point subalgebras in $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ are non-isomorphic. However, $[I_{2,6}]$, $[J_4]$, $[I_{1,7}J_4I_{1,7}^{-1}]$ are actually conjugate in G. This could be seen in the following way. The elements $x_1 = e_1e_2$, $x_2 = \Pi$, $x_3 = e_1\Pi e_1^{-1}$ of Spin(8) map to them under the projection $\pi : \mathrm{Spin}(8) \longrightarrow \mathrm{PSO}(8)$, where

$$\Pi = \frac{1+e_1e_2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_3e_4}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_5e_6}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{1+e_7e_8}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

In Spin(8), x_1 and cx_1 represent the conjugacy classes of elements x with $x^2 = -1$, similarly x_2 and $-x_2$ (x_3 and $-x_3$) represent the conjugacy classes of elements x with $x^2 = c$ ($x^2 = -c$). As -1, c, $-c$ are conjugate to each other in Spin(8), therefore $\pi(x_1)$, $\pi(x_2)$, $\pi(x_3)$ are conjugate to each other in G. Note that the centralizer of $[J_4]$ in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ is contained in $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$, but the centralizer of $[I_{2,6}]$ in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ intersects the non-neutral connected component as well. This is a way to distinguish the conjugacy class of $[I_{2,6}]$ from the conjugacy class of $[J_4]$ in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$.

In $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{13} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [J_4'], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_2', J_2'\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}],\\
&\quad [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1', J_1'\}]\rangle,\\
F_{14} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_2', J_2'\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}],\\
&\quad [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1', J_1'\}]\rangle,\\
F_{15} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_2', J_2'\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}],\\
&\quad [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1, J_1\}]\rangle,\\
F_{16} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1', J_1'\}]\rangle,\\
F_{17} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [I_{2,6}], [I_{6,2}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1', J_1'\}]\rangle,\\
F_{18} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [I_{2,6}], [I_{6,2}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1', J_1\}]\rangle,\\
F_{19} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [I_{2,6}], [I_{6,2}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1, J_1\}]\rangle,\\
F_{20} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [I_{2,6}], [I_{6,2}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, J_1\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{J_1', J_1', J_1, I_{1,1}\}]\rangle,\\
F_{21} &= \langle [I_{4,4}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{2,2}, I_{2,2}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}]\}]\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.7. *A non-diagonalizable finite abelian subgroup F of $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ is conjugate to one of F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{15}, F_{16}, F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{20}, F_{21}.*

Proof. By [Yu2], Section 2, we have a diagonalizable subgroup B_F such that F/B_F is an elementary abelian 2-group, an integer $k = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{rank}(F/B_F)$ and another integer s_0 such that $8 = s_0 \cdot 2^k$; moreover the conjugacy class of F as a subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ is determined by the linear structure $(F/B_F, m, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{s_0})$, where each μ_i satisfies $(F/B_F, m, \mu_i) \cong V_{0,k;0,0}$ (cf. [Yu], Subsection 2.4). Since we assume that F is non-diagonalizable, $k = 1$, 2 or 3. If $k = 3$, then $F \sim F_{13}$. If $k = 2$, then $F \sim F_{14}$ or F_{15}. If $k = 1$, then $s_0 = 4$. We have several cases to consider: $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4$; $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 \neq \mu_4$; $\mu_1 = \mu_2 \neq \mu_3 = \mu_4$; $\mu_1 = \mu_2 \neq \mu_3, \mu_4$ and $\mu_3 \neq \mu_4$. In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4$, $F \sim F_{16}$ or F_{17}. In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 \neq \mu_4$, one has $F \sim F_{18}$. In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 \neq \mu_3 = \mu_4$, one has $F \sim F_{19}$. In the case of $\mu_1 = \mu_2 \neq \mu_3, \mu_4$ and $\mu_3 \neq \mu_4$, one has $F \sim F_{20}$. If $k = 0$, then $F \sim F_{21}$. □

Note that, $[I_{2,6}]$ and $[J_4]$ are conjugate in G and there are no more distinct conjugacy classes of involutions in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ which lie in the same conjugacy class in G.

Proposition 4.8. *In G, the subgroups F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{16}, F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{20}, F_{21} are non-conjugate to each other. One has $F_{15} \sim F_{19}$ and the normalizer of F_{17} meets all connected components of G. In G, any diagonalizable finite abelian subgroup F of $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the condition$(*)$ is conjugate to one of F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{16}, F_{21}.*

Proof. By comparing $|F_i|$ and $|\{x \in F_i : x^2 = 1\}|$, one sees that the only possible pairs of conjugate subgroups among F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{15}, F_{16}, F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{21} are (F_{14}, F_{17}) and (F_{15}, F_{18}). For the pair (F_{14}, F_{17}), we note that F_{14} contains a Klein four subgroup with involutions all conjugate to $[J_4]$ however F_{17} does not contain such a Klein four subgorup. Hence they are not conjugate in G. For the pair (F_{15}, F_{18}), we note that $\{x^2 : x \in F_{15}\} = \langle [I_{4,4}]\rangle$ and $\{x^2 : x \in F_{18}\} = \langle [I_{2,6}]\rangle$. As $[I_{4,4}]$ and $[I_{2,6}]$ are not conjugate in G, one gets that F_{15} and F_{18}) are not conjugate in G.

Let

$$K = \langle [I_{2,6}], [I_{4,4}], [I_{6,2}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}, I_4\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_{1,1}, I_4, I_{1,1}\}], [\mathrm{diag}\{I_4, I_{1,1}, I_{1,1}\}]\rangle.$$

Since $\tau[I_{2,6}]\tau^{-1}$ and $[J_4]$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$, $\mathrm{Ad}(\tau)K$ is an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with an element conjugate to $[J_4]$, hence being non-diagonalizable. Since $\operatorname{rank} K = 6$, one sees that $\mathrm{Ad}(\tau)K$ is conjugate to F_{13} in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$. Hence K is conjugate to F_{13} in G. Similar argument shows that any diagonalizable finite abelian subgroup F of $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with an element conjugate to $I_{2,6}$ is conjugate to one of F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{16}. If F is a diagonalizable finite abelian subgroup F of $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and without any element conjugate to $I_{2,6}$, then any non-trivial element of F is conjugate to $I_{4,4}$. Therefore F is conjugate to one of F_{21}.

Now F_{19} is a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with an element conjugate to $[I_{2,6}]$ in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$. Hence $\mathrm{Ad}(\tau)F_{19}$ is a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and with an element conjugate to $[J_4]$ in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$. By comparing the order and the exponent, one sees that $\mathrm{Ad}(\tau)F_{19}$ is conjugate to F_{15} in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$. Therefore F_{15} is conjugate to F_{19} in G. Similarly, $\mathrm{Ad}(\tau)F_{17}$ is a finite abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the

condition $(*)$ and with elements conjugate to $[J_4]$ and $[I_{2,6}]$ in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$. Hence $\mathrm{Ad}(\tau)F_{17}$ is conjugate to F_{17} in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$. Therefore the normalizer of F_{17} in G meets all connected components of G. □

We do not discuss in details the classification of diagonalizable finite abelian subgroups of $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ satisfying the condition $(*)$ and being not contained in $\mathrm{SO}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$, which requires some combinatorial counting. We only remark that there is a unique conjugacy class of maximal abelian subgroups among them. Denote by F_{22} the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$. Then, F_{22} lies in this conjugacy class. Among F_{13}, F_{14}, F_{16}, F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{20}, F_{21}, F_{22}, the subgroups F_{17}, F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{20}, F_{22} are maximal abelian subgroups of G.

Proposition 4.9. *One has* $|W(F_{17})| = 3^2 \times 2^{10}$, $|W(F_{18})| = 3 \times 2^7$, $|W(F_{19})| = 2^9$, $|W(F_{20})| = 2^8$, *and* $W(F_{13}) \cong W(F_{22}) \cong S_8$.

Proof. First note that the normalizers of F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{20} are contained in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ since they contain elements conjugate to $[I_{2,6}]$ and contain no elements conjugate to $[J_4]$ in $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$. Let $K = \langle [I_{4,4}], [I_{2,6}], [I_{6,2}]\rangle$. Then, K is a subgroup of each of F_{18}, F_{19}, F_{20} and is stable under the conjugation action of Weyl groups. Apparently one has $W(K) \cong S_4$. By identifying the images and kernels of the homomorphism $p : W(F_i) \longrightarrow W(K)$ for $i = 18, 19, 20$, we get that $|W(F_{18})| = 3 \times 2^7$, $|W(F_{19})| = 2^9$, $|W(F_{20})| = 2^7$. We could also appeal to [Yu2], Proposition 3.4 to get the orders of Weyl groups. By a similar argument one can show that $|W(F_{17})| = 3^2 \times 2^{10}$ by noting that there are extra elements in the Weyl group coming from normalizer outside $\mathrm{O}(8)/\langle -I\rangle$ by Proposition 4.8. The subgroup F_{13} is conjugate to a subgroup K' of F_{22}, which is stable under $W(F_{22})$. Moreover $C_G(K') = F_{22}$, hence $W(F_{13}) \cong W(K') = W(F_{22})$. It is clear that $W(F_{22}) \cong S_8$. □

References

[Bo] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras.* Chapters 46. Translated from the 1968 French original by Andrew Pressley. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[EK] A. Elduque; M. Kochetov, *Gradings on the exceptional Lie algebras* F_4 *and* G_2 *revisited.* arXiv:1009.1218v3.

[He] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces.* Pure and Applied Mathematics, 80. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.

[HY] J.-S. Huang; J. Yu, *Klein four subgroups of Lie algebra automorphisms.* Pacific J. Math. **262** (2013), no. 2, 397-420.

[Kn] A.W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction.* Progress in Mathematics, **140**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

[Os] T. Oshima, *A classification of subsystems of a root system.* ArXiv:math/0611904.

[Yu] J. Yu, *Elementary abelian 2-subgroups of compact Lie groups.* Geom. Dedicata **167** (2013), no. 1, 245-293.

[Yu2] J. Yu, *Maximal abelian subgroups of compact classical simple Lie groups.* Preprint.

Jun Yu
School of Mathematics,
Institute for Advanced Study,
Einstein Drive, Fuld Hall,

Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
email:junyu@math.ias.edu.